RECEIPT #P///oX			
AMOUNT \$ Case d:05-cv-10015-MBB	Document 1	Filed 01/04/2005	Page 1 of 6
SUMMONS ISSUED Y-/			
LOCAL RULE 4.1			
WAIVER FORM			
MCF ISSUED		•	
	ED STATES DIST	TRICT COURT	
BY DPTY. CLK. 1-19-05	FOR THE	3	
DIS	TRICT OF MASSA	ACHUSETTS	

NICHOLSON-LAYNE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION

DRILLGEAR, INC. a/k/a DRILLGEAR USA,

Defendant.



COMPLAINT

<u>PARTIES</u>

MAGISTRATE JUDGE Soule

- 1. The plaintiff Nicholson-Layne, LLC ("NL") is a Delaware limited liability corporation registered to do business in Massachusetts and with a principal place of business at 225 Friend Street, Boston, Massachusetts.
- 2. The defendant Drillgear, Inc. a/k/a Drillgear USA ("Drillgear") is, upon information and belief, a Texas corporation having an office at 7735 Miller Road, No. 3, Houston, Texas.

JURISDICTION

3. This Court has original jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because there is complete diversity of citizenship between NL and Drillgear and because the matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

FACTS

4. NL is a subcontractor to Turner Construction Company ("Turner") on a construction project called the Massport Central Parking Garage Repairs and Expansion, MPA Project No. L 219-C3 (the "Project") located at Logan Airport in East Boston, Massachusetts.

- Pursuant to its subcontract with Turner on the Project, NL is responsible for 5. performing drilled pile foundations. The amount of NL's base subcontract with Turner is \$6,354,000.
- In order to perform its subcontract work for Turner on the Project, NL needs to 6. use certain materials, including drill casings and starters. On or about February, 2004, NL asked Drillgear to provide NL with a quotation for drill casings and starters for NL to use on the Project. Drillgear provided such a quotation to NL on February 11, 2004, which quotation stated: "We will commit to these Prices throughout the entire project" (the "February, 2004 Quotation and Commitment").
- NL accepted Drillgear's February, 2004 Quotation and Commitment and 7. communicated that acceptance to Drillgear. NL relied on the February, 2004 Quotation and Commitment in the bid which NL submitted to Turner, which bid was subsequently accepted by Turner. Subsequently, in late April, 2004, NL placed its first order for drill casings and starters with Drillgear as per Drillgear's February, 2004 Quotation and Commitment, and Drillgear fulfilled that order and charged the prices for that order as set forth in the February, 2004 price Quotation and Commitment.
- On May 18, 2004, Drillgear sent a supplemental quotation to NL containing both 8. the same items listed on the February, 2004 Quotation and Commitment, as well as several additional items with prices (the "May, 2004 Quotation and Commitment"). The May, 2004 Quotation and Commitment stated: "We will commit to these Prices throughout the entire project". NL accepted the May, 2004 Quotation and Commitment and communicated that acceptance to Drillgear.

- Document 1
- 9. On May 25, 2004, NL submitted an order to Drillgear for a certain amount of items listed in the May, 2004 Quotation and Commitment. This order was for an estimated quantity of items totaling in excess of \$1,700,000.00.
- Drillgear subsequently delivered some of the items ordered by NL, charging the 10. prices set forth in the February, 2004 and May, 2004 Quotations and Commitments. Some of the items delivered were of poor quality causing NL to reject them.
- In July, 2004, several months after the February, 2004 and May, 2004 Quotations 11. and Commitments had been made by Drillgear and accepted by NL, and after Drillgear had shipped certain items to NL at the prices set forth in the February, 2004 and May, 2004 Quotations and Commitments, Drillgear stated that it would no longer honor the February, 2004 and May, 2004 Quotations and Commitments. This constituted a breach of contract by Drillgear.
- Drillgear's breach of contract has caused NL substantial damage. NL has been 12. forced to secure alternative sources for the drill casings and starters, which has caused, and is expected to cause, NL to incur costs in excess of \$800,000.00 more than what it would have paid if Drillgear had not breached its contract with NL.
- 13. Drillgear has invoiced NL \$292,328.50 for the drill casings and starters which it did ship to the Project. It is NL's position that NL is entitled to offset this amount against the amount owed to NL by Drillgear due to Drillgear's breach of contract, such that no amount is due and owing by NL to Drillgear.

COUNT I (Breach of Contract)

- NL repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13, 14. supra.
 - The conduct of Drillgear, as hereinbefore alleged, constitutes a breach of contract. 15.
- As a direct and proximate result of Drillgear's breach of contract, NL has 16. suffered, is suffering, and will continue to suffer damages.

COUNT II (Action for Declaratory Judgment)

- NL repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through 13, 15 17. and 16, supra.
- An actual controversy of justifiable nature presently exists between NL and 18. Drillgear concerning Drillgear's claim that it is owed \$292,328.50 by NL.
- 19. Pursuant to M.G.L. c.231A, this Court may enter a declaratory judgment as to Drillgear's claim that it is owed \$292,328.50 by NL.
- 20. The issuance of declaratory relief by this Court, and compliance by Drillgear with such relief, should be sufficient to terminate the existing controversy between the parties.

COUNT III (Estoppel)

- NL repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13, 15 21. and 16, and 18 through 20, supra.
- 22. Drillgear was aware that NL accepted the February, 2004 and May, 2004 Quotations and Commitments, and Drillgear knew that NL was relying on the February, 2004 and May, 2004 Quotations and Commitments in setting NL's subcontract price with Turner.

- NL's reliance on the February, 2004 and May, 2004 Quotations and 23. Commitments was reasonable based, among other things, that Drillgear used the prices set forth in the February, 2004 and May, 2004 Quotations and Commitments for the items that it delivered to NL in June and July, 2004, and that NL had notified Drillgear of NL's acceptance of the February, 2004 and May, 2004 Quotations and Commitments before Drillgear attempted to unilaterally modify them in July, 2004.
- NL's reliance upon the representations and conduct of Drillgear has been 24. reasonable and NL has been prejudiced by Drillgear's conduct, such that Drillgear is estopped to deny that the February, 2004 and May, 2004 Quotations and Commitments represent a valid agreement between NL and Drillgear.

COUNT IV

(Breach of Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

- 25. NL repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13, 15 and 16, 17 through 20, and 22 through 24, supra.
- The agreement which NL reached with Drillgear regarding the February, 2004 26. and May, 2004 Quotations and Commitments carried with it an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- Drillgear's conduct as described above constitutes a breach of its agreement with 27. NL and a violation of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing implied in that agreement.
 - 28. NL has suffered and will suffer damages as a result of Drillgear's breach.

WHEREFORE, NL respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment for NL and against Drillgear in an amount to be determined at trial, with interest, costs and attorneys' fees, together with such additional or alternative relief as is deemed just and proper.

PLAINTIFF NICHOLSON-LAYNE, LLC By its attorneys,

John P. Connelly, BBO #546670 PEABODY & ARNOLD LLP 30 Rowes Wharf Boston, MA 02110 (617) 951-2100

Dated: January 4, 2005

604397

RECEIPT #_

____ AMOUNT___

------ APPLYING IFP_

Case 1:05-cv-10015-MBC | VPpc COVER SHEET | Page 1 of 2

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	the purpose of initiating	the civil docket sheet.	(ŚEE IN	STRUCTIONS ON THE	REVERSE	OF THE FOR	mber 1974, is req M.)	uired for	r the us
Nicholson-Layne, LLC				Drillgea	r, Inc	c. a/k/a	Drillge	ar U	SA
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE (EXCE	E OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF EPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (<u>Suffolk Co</u> CASES) MA	ounty	NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. P	LAINTIFF CAS	SES ONLY) SE THE LOCATION	OF THE	 -
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAM John Conno Peabody & 30 Rowes 1 Boston, M (617) 951	A 02110	*546670		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)					
II. BASIS OF JURISE	DICTION (PLACE A	N "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CIT	FIZENSHIP OF PR Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL	PARTIES	PLACE AN "X" IN ONE	BOX FOR F	LAINTIFF
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	X 4 Diversity	n nent Not a Party) enship of Parties	Citi	zen of This State	PTF DEF	Incorporated of Busines	AND ONE BOX FOR DE l or Principal Place s In This State l and Principal Pla	PTF PX:4	DEF
	in Item III)	eriship of Panies	Citiz	zen or Subject of a persion County	⊒3 <u>□</u> 3	of Business	s In Another State)	Ž35 □ 6
IV. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN		oreign Country VE BOX ONLY)					
 ☼ 1 Original Proceeding ☑ 2 Rer Sta V. NATURE OF SUIT 	te Court App	nanded from 4 R	einstated eopened	Transfer		□ 6 Multidistri Litigation	Jud ict ⊔7 Ma	peal to E dge from gistrate dgment	
CONTRACT	(PLACE AN "X" IN O	ORTS		PRFEITURE/PENALTY					
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotlable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Sults □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property ■ VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DO NOT CITE JURISDICT	PRISONER PETITIC 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence HABEAS CORPUS: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition Tute Under Which You A	e	1 610 Agriculture 1 620 Other Food & Drug 1 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 1 630 Liquor Laws 1 640 R.R. & Truck 1 650 Airline Regs. 1 660 Occupational Safety/Health 1 690 Other LABOR 1 Fair Labor Standards Act 1 1 Abor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 1 1 Abor/Mgmt. Reporting 8 Disclosure Act 1 1 Abor Litigation 1 1 Empl. Ret. Inc. 1 Security Act 2 AND WRITE BRIEF STATEMEN	422 App 423 With 28 U 423 With 28 U PROPER 820 Copy 830 Pate 840 Trade 861 HIA { 962 Black 963 DIWC 864 SSID 865 RSI (- 870 Taxes or De 871 IRS 26 US	SC 157 STY RIGHTS yrights nt emark SECURITY 1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) TAX SUITS is (U.S. Plaintiff fendant) — Third Party SC 7609	OTHER ST. 400 State Reapp. 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and B 450 Commerce/lt 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer inf Corrupt Orga 810 Selective Ser 850 Securities/Ce Exchange 875 Customer Ch 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural A 892 Economic Sta 893 Environmenta 894 Energy Alloca 895 Freedom of 190 Appeal of Fee Under Equal 4 950 Constitutional State Statutes 890 Other Statutor	ortionment anking CC Rates/e CC Rates/e fluenced an inizations vice or mmodities, allenge of the control of the	etc. nd Act
28 U.S.C. §133 defendants far	32 diversity ilure to per	action for form on con	breac trac	ch of contratt to supply	act an const	ruction	claims materia	ls	····
/III.RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions):	3	4,1	n excess of 800,000		JRY DEMAN		EXNO	
OR OFFICE USE ONLY	JU	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY (OF RECORB	DOCKET	NUMBER			
		`		<u> </u>					

__ JUDGE_

Case 1:05-cv-10015-MBB Document 1-2 Filed 01/04/2005 Page 2 of 2 DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS Page 2 of 2

1. TITLE O	F CASE	(NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH SIDE ONLY) Nicholson-Layne, LLC v.
		, Inc. a/k/a Drillgear USA
2. CATEGO	RY IN	WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVIL
		(SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).
_	I.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATUPE OF SUIT.
 .	п.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 625, 710, 728, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820, 830, 840, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.
<u>X</u>	m.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.
_	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.
_	v.	150, 152, 153.
3. TITLE A	ND NUM	IBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(E)).
<u>_none</u>	<u> </u>	
		TION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS COURT?
PUBLIC I	NTERES	PLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE
IF 80, IS	THE U.	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? (SEE 28 USC 2403)
	ASE RE	QUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE
. DO <u>ALL</u> P	ARTIES	IN THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS (WORCESTER
COUNTY	- (SEE	LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)). XKS no OR IN THE WESTERN SECTION (BERKSHIRE
FRANKLI	N. HAM	PDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 46.1(D)). XXEX DO
. DO <u>ALL</u> (OF THE	PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN SECTIONS OF THE
THE PLAI	NTIFF I	K no (a) IF YES, IN WHICH SECTION DOES
		ON DO THE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE: Eastern
AGENCY	OF THE	PARTIES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY GOVERNMENTAL U.S.A. OR THE COMMONWEALTH, DO ALL OTHER PARTIES RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION INC.
	OR W	ESTERN SECTION NO
LEASE TYPE	OR PRI	John P. Connelly
		Peabody & Arnold LLP, 30 Rowes Wharf, Boston, MA 02110
ELEPHONE N		(617) 951-2100